



# European Participation in U.S. Federal Science & Technology Research Funding Programmes: Addendum - Survey of EU-based Researchers on Department of Energy Grant Funding

**Appendices** 



June 2011







### **Table of Contents**

Appe	endices	3
	Appendix A: Introductory Letter to Researchers	3
	Appendix B: Questionnaire	4
	Appendix C: Member State of Current Institution	10
	Appendix D: Breakdown of Organization Type	10
	Appendix E: Number of New DOE Grants Awarded	10
	Appendix F: Type of U.Sbased Institution of Previously Collaboration	11
	Appendix G: Did Researchers Study in the U.S. Prior to First DOE Grant	11
	Appendix H: General Challenges to Participation in DOE Funding Programmes	12
	Appendix I: Legal, Policy, and Administrative Challenges to DOE Participation	14
	Appendix J: Are New DOE Funding Opportunities Easy to Find Out About?	15
	Appendix K: Other How Researchers Hear About New DOE Opportunities	16
	Appendix L: Other Issues Related to Awareness Programmes and Opportunities	16
	Appendix M: Contribution of DOE Funding to Overall Research Programme	17
	Appendix N: Positive Experiences/Aspects/Issues with DOE Programmes	17
	Appendix O: Recommendations for DOE Funding Programmes	18

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors, Stephanie Papia, Program Associate, and Tom Wang, Director for International Cooperation, American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), gratefully acknowledge the researchers who responded to this survey and provided their invaluable input.

### Disclaimer

The information contained within this report has been compiled from public sources and communications with U.S. funding entities. This report is not an official publication of any U.S. federal government entity nor necessarily reflects the views of the U.S. federal government or of the organizations comprising the Link2US project. The opinions and any errors within the report are entirely the responsibility of the authors.





### **Appendices**

### **Appendix A: Introductory Letter to Researchers**

Dear Researcher,

The Link2US Project (more information below signature and attached), co-funded by the European Union (EU) Framework Programme and coordinated by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), the world's largest general scientific society and publisher of the journal Science, seeks your assistance with its Questionnaire on EU Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding Programmes.

You are receiving this questionnaire because you have had or currently have one or more grants or other funding awards from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). If you have not received any awards from DOE, please respond to this email (<u>Link2US@aaas.org</u>) and we will promptly remove you from our list.

The main objective of this questionnaire is to identify barriers and other challenges that EU institutions and researchers face when applying to and participating in DOE research funding programmes. The outcomes of this questionnaire will be used in an analysis of key issues to address in improving funding programmes for international cooperation, which will be shared with stakeholders (including the European Commission and U.S. funding bodies). This questionnaire is not officially connected with any U.S. federal funding body.

Directions: The questionnaire will be implemented electronically. To complete the survey, please visit <a href="http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/Researchers\_DOE">http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/Researchers\_DOE</a>. The questionnaire is open from 5-19 November 2010. Please submit your completed questionnaire no later than 18h00 Central European Time on 19 November.

Confidentiality: All information will be treated confidentially and will only be distributed in an anonymous format (no attribution to individuals) to any entity outside of the Link2US Project (e.g., government funding agencies).

Should you have any questions, please contact Ms. Stephanie Pals (<u>Link2US@aaas.org</u>; Tel: +1 (202) 326-6663), Link2US project officer.

Thank you for your time and effort in responding to this survey. You will receive a copy of the report once the analysis is completed. Your responses will contribute to improving and strengthening EU - U.S. science and technology cooperation.

Sincerely,
Dr. Tom Wang
Coordinator, Link2US Project
Director for International Cooperation,
American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)
Link2US@aaas.org
www.EuUsScienceTechnology.eu/Link2US

The Link2US Project aims to enhance the understanding of U.S. collaborative research funding programmes by facilitating easy access to relevant information on U.S. cooperation programmes through electronic communities such as a website, e-newsletter, and virtual helpdesk. The Project is co-funded by the EU's Capacities Programme on International Cooperation under the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Cooperation. See attached document for more information.







### **Appendix B: Questionnaire**

### European Union (EU) Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding

### Questionnaire for Researchers: EU Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding ...

### Dear Researcher.

Thank you for participating in the Link2US Project's Questionnaire on European Union (EU) Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding Programmes. You are receiving this questionnaire because you have had or currently have one or more grants or other funding awards from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). If you have not received any awards from DOE, please contact us (Link2US@aaas.org) and we will remove

The main objective of this questionnaire is to identify barriers and other challenges that EU researchers and institutions face when applying to and participating in DOE research funding programmes. The outcomes of this questionnaire will be used in an analysis of key issues to address in improving funding programmes for international cooperation, which will be shared with stakeholders (including the European Commission and U.S. funding bodies).2

Directions: Please answer all questions in relation to your own experience with DOE funding programmes. The estimated time for completion of the questionnaire is 10-15 minutes. The questionnaire is open from 5-19 November 2010. Please submit your completed questionnaire no later than 18h00 Central European Time on 19 November. As you are completing the questionnaire, your answers are saved when you click on the "next/save" or "submit" button at the bottom of each page. Should your session be interrupted, you may return to the system at a later time to pick up where you left off and finish, as long as you are using the same computer and browser and cookies are accepted.

Confidentiality: All information will be treated confidentially and will only be distributed in an anonymous format (no attribution to individuals) to any entity outside of the Link2US Project (e.g., government funding agencies).

Should you have any questions, please contact Ms. Stephanie Pals (Link2US@aaas.org; Tel: +1 (202) 326-6663), Link2US project officer.

Thank you for your time and effort in responding to this survey. You will receive a copy of the report once the analysis is completed. Your responses will contribute to improving and strengthening EU - U.S. science and technology cooperation.

Dr. Tom Wang

Coordinator, Link2US Project

Director for International Cooperation.

American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)

Link2US@aaas.org

www.EuUsScienceTechnology.eu/Link2US



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Link2US Project aims to enhance the understanding of U.S. collaborative research funding programmes by facilitating easy access to relevant information on U.S. cooperation programmes through electronic communities such as a website, e-newsletter, and virtual helpdesk. The Project is co-funded by the EU's Capacities Programme on International Cooperation under the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Cooperation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This questionnaire is not officially connected with any U.S. federal funding body.





## European Union (EU) Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding

Questionnaire for Researchers: EU Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding ... GENERAL INFORMATION/DEMOGRAPHICS \* 1. Name (Surname, Given Name) \* 2. Title \* 3. Name of your institution \* 4. Location of institution (country) 5. Your department, center, or other organizational unit within your institution \* 6. Which of the following best describes your organization? **★** 7. Please indicate the number of new awards you have received from DOE between 2003-2010, and what funding instrument(s) these awards are/were funded under (e.g., Office of Science grants, etc): A. Number of direct awards (e.g. you are the principal investigator): Funding instrument(s): \* Number of indirect awards (e.g. subcontract): Funding instrument(s):







Europe	an Union (EU) Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding
* 8. Ca	ontribution of DOE funding to your overall research programme: (please select all
state	ements that are appropriate)
	Provides a significant financial resource (makes up more than 25% of all your research funding in a given year)
	Provides credibility to access other funding sources
	Provides access to U.S. researchers/institutions
1	None of the above
	Other (please specify)





European Union (EU) Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding Questionnaire for Researchers: EU Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding ... U.S. FUNDING PROGRAMME QUESTIONS **★ 9. General challenges to participation in DOE funding programmes (for each of the** following issues, rate from 0-5: where as a guide 5 is extremely important and needs priority attention; 3 is challenging but no more so than other funding programmes; 0 is not an issue). Rate Communication and information awareness of programmes Contractual issues and intellectual property Lack of administrative support from own organization Lack of administrative support from the U.S. funding body Lack of complementary funding Cultural differences in management of grants Other (please specify and indicate rating) Information and Awareness **★ 10. Before receiving your first DOE award, had you studied or conducted research in the United States?** \* 11. Before receiving your DOE first award, had you previously collaborated with a U.S.based researcher at: (check all that apply) DOE national laboratories Any other U.S. federal government laboratory or affiliated laboratory (e.g., National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Any non-governmental U.S. research institution (e.g., public or private university) None







European Union (EU) Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding
<b>≭</b> 12. How do/did you hear about new DOE funding opportunities? (check all that apply)
Administrative staff at your institution
Colleagues/collaborators at your own or other non-U.S. institution
Commercial vendor of funding opportunities database/search
DOE website
DOE programme officer or other staff
U.S. colleagues or collaborators
Other (please specify)
<b>★</b> 13. Are new DOE funding opportunities easy to find out about?
To: Are new Bot funding opportunities easy to find out about.
If No, please explain.
14. Please describe any other issues related to awareness of DOE funding programmes
and opportunities.







European Union (EU) Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding Questionnaire for Researchers: EU Researcher Participation in U.S. Funding ... Legal/Policy/Administrative \* 15. Challenges to participation in DOE funding programmes (for each of the following issues, rate from 0-5: where as a guide 5 is extremely important and needs priority attention; 3 is challenging but no more so than other funding programmes; 0 is not an issue) Rate Audit requirements **Budgeting requirements** Facilities & administrative (F&A)/indirect cost recovery limits Intellectual property Other contractual (grant) requirements Other (please specify and indicate rating) 16. Please describe any other issues related to administrative/policy/legal aspects of DOE funding programmes and opportunities. **General** 17. What recommendations could ease/improve research collaboration through DOE funding programmes? 18. What have been positive experiences/aspects/issues in applying for and/or receiving DOE awards that could be lessons for other (U.S. or European) funding bodies?





**Appendix C: Member State of Current Institution** 

Member Stat	te of Current Institution
<u>Country</u>	Response Amount
Denmark	1
United Kingdom	5
Total Responses	6

**Appendix D: Breakdown of Organization Type** 

Breakdown of Organization	on Type
Organization Type	Response Amount
Higher education institution	5
Research organization - public or private	1
Industry	0
Total Responses	6

**Appendix E: Number of New DOE Grants Awarded** 

Number of New DOE	Grants Awarded Bet	ween 2005-2009
Number of Direct Awards Received	<u>Response</u> <u>Amount</u>	Office within DOE
1	3	Office of Science
2	2	Office of Science
3	1	Office of Science
Number of Indirect  Awards Received	<u>Response</u> <u>Amount</u>	Office within DOE
0	5	N/A
1	1	N/A





### Appendix F: Type of U.S.-based Institution of Previously Collaboration

First DOE Grant was Awa	rded
Type of Institution	Response Amount
Non-governmental U.S. research institution	3
U.S. Department of Energy	2
Other U.S. federal government or affiliated	2
None	1
Total Responses	8

Appendix G: Did Researchers Study in the U.S. Prior to First DOE Grant

Did Researchers Study	in the U.S. Prior DOE Grant	to Receiving the	eir First
Response		<u>Response</u>	
Yes		3	
No		3	
Total Responses		6	





### **Appendix H: General Challenges to Participation in DOE Funding Programmes**

### **General Challenges to Participation in DOE Funding Programmes Challenge** Response **Amount** Low Communication and information awareness of programmes Medium High **Total Responses** Low **Contractual issues and intellectual** property Medium High **Total Responses** Low Cultural differences in management of grants Medium High **Total Responses** Low Lack of administrative support from own organization Medium High

**Total Responses** 







		0	1
	Low	1	0
Lack of administrative support		2	0
from U.S. funding body	Medium	3	3
	High	4	2
	півіі	5	0
		<b>Total Responses</b>	6
		0	3
	Low		3 2
lack of complementary funding	Low	0	
Lack of complementary funding	Low	0 1	2
Lack of complementary funding	Medium	0 1 2	2
Lack of complementary funding		0 1 2 3	2 0 1





### Appendix I: Legal, Policy, and Administrative Challenges to DOE Participation

# Legal, Policy, & Administrative Challenges to Participation in DOE **Funding Programmes**

Low	<u>Challenge</u>		<u>Response</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Low	<u>Granerige</u>			
Audit requirements		Low		 1
Medium   3   3   3   4   0   0   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1				
High	Audit requirements	Medium		
High			_	
Low		High		
Low			Total Responses	
Low				
Budgeting requirements			0	1
Medium   3		Low	1	1
Medium   3	Rudgeting requirements		2	0
High   5	buugetiiig requirements	Medium	3	2
Total Responses   6			4	2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		High	5	0
Low			Total Responses	6
Low				
Facilities & administrative   2			0	1
(F&A)/indirect cost recovery limits         Medium         3         4           Intellectual property         Low 1         1           Low 1         0         1           Low 2         1           Medium 3         4           High 4         0           High 5         0		Low	1	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2	1
High	(F&A)/indirect cost recovery limits	Medium	3	4
Total Responses   6		Uiah	4	0
Low		півіі	5	0
Low			Total Responses	6
Low			0	1
1     2   1       Medium   3   4     4   0		Low	-	
Medium         3         4           High         4         0           5         0		LOW		
High 4 0 5 0	Intellectual property	Modium		
High 5 0		ivieululii	_	
		High		
Total Pagnangag			Total Responses	6





Other contractual (grant)	Low	0 1 2	1 1 0
requirements	Medium	3	4
·	High	4	0
	High	5	0

Total Responses

Other (All information below are direct quotes from researchers)

Establishing the correct method to invoice and actually receive the awarded money was quite difficult and I am aware that others find this difficult.

It's a very beauracratic process with its own language.

Not charging for own time as PI is different to U.S. labs and may cause confusion at review of science as project looks less expensive.

### Appendix J: Are New DOE Funding Opportunities Easy to Find Out About?

# Response Response Amount Yes 2 No 4 Total Responses 6 If No, please explain (All information below are direct quotes from researchers) I do not actively look at the website but targeted email alerts could be useful. I do not go looking for them assuming relevant ones would be hard to find. I only learn about such opportunities from U.S. colleagues. The competitions are very high, therefore the funding is limited.



### **Appendix K: Other How Researchers Hear About New DOE Opportunities**

Method of Hearing About New Awards	Response Amount*
Administrative staff at your institution	0
Commercial vendor of funding opportunities database/search	0
DOE programme officer or other staff	3
DOE website	1
Colleagues/collaborators at your own or other non-U.S. institution	3
U.S. colleagues or collaborators	4
Other	0

### **Appendix L: Other Issues Related to Awareness Programmes and Opportunities**

### Other Issues Related to Awareness of DOE Funding Programmes and Opportunities

Responses (All information below are direct quotes from researchers)

In radiation field the opportunities are made aware through scientific Societies.





### Appendix M: Contribution of DOE Funding to Overall Research Programme

# Type of Contribution Provides access to U.S. researchers/institutions Provides credibility to access other funding Provides a significant financial resource None of the options listed Other \* Researchers were able to check all that apply (a total of 6 individual researchers responded).

### **Appendix N: Positive Experiences/Aspects/Issues with DOE Programmes**

Postive Experiences/Aspects/Issues in Applying for and/or Receiving DOE Awards That Could be Lessons for Other (U.S. or European) Funding Bodies

### Responses (All information below are direct quotes from researchers)

The application procedure is similar to that for UK research council grants except the detail of experiments required is more extensive for DOE grants. Proof of principle has to be shown, more so than European grants.

The engagement with U.S. based researchers and the programme meetings bring benefits in raised awareness of other relevant work and potential for identifying new research collaborators.

The reporting procedure for DOE program project is much simpler compare to others such as the EU.







### **Appendix O: Recommendations for DOE Funding Programmes**

### **Recommendations That Could Ease/Improve Research Collaboration Through DOE Funding Programmes**

Responses (All information below are direct quotes from researchers)

Better alerting of funding opportunities, clear statements on eligability.

I found it important to have a partnership with a U.S. laboratory; Need to understand the different approach to writing U.S. grant than European grant; Grant application is less emanding than an EU grant for non-scientific aspects.

Simplification of language, application process and notification of funding opportunities.

The way to improve research collaborations is through Multidisciplinary Integration program. So Scientist work together to achieve specific goal.

